## REACTION AND SUBSTITUENT CONSTANTS FOR PYRAMIDAL INVERSION Janet S. Splitter and Melvin Calvin Department of Chemistry and Laboratory of Chemical Biodynamics, University of California, Berkeley, Calif., 94720

## (Received in USA 17 August 1973; received in UK for publication 10 September 1973)

We wish to show that from a Hammett  $\rho$  for the nitrogen inversion of 1-ary1-2,2-dimethylaziridines,<sup>1</sup> where the correlation is with  $\sigma_p^-$  of the p-substituent in the phenol,<sup>1,2</sup> substituent constants for pyramidal inversion ( $\sigma_{inv}$ ) can be derived for substituents directly on the inverting atom.

In order to determine the Hammett  $\rho$  at 25°, the inversion rates of these 1-arylaziridines at 25° were determined from the experimental  $\Delta G^{\dagger}$  values<sup>1</sup> adjusted to 25° by assuming  $\Delta S^{\dagger} = 0$ . A plot of these log k values  $\underline{vs} \sigma_p^{-}$  gave a  $\rho$  of 2.5<sup>3</sup> (Fig. 1). This value was used in Fig. 2 to determine  $\sigma_{inv}$  constants for the substituents on the nitrogen atom. The  $\sigma_{inv}$  constants so determined were used to determine  $\rho$  values for other series as shown in Fig. 3, using experimentally determined rate constants adjusted to 25°. From these  $\rho$  values, a number of  $\sigma_{inv}$  constants







were determined for substituents that were not present in the aziridine series. The data in Fig. 2 and 3 are summarized in Table 1. Substituents that were common to more than one series were Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, COCH<sub>3</sub>, SiH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, SC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, H, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, cyclohexyl, CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>2</sub>, Br, Cl, and OH. The other substituents occurred in only one series and those  $\sigma_{inv}$  constants are, therefore, subject to greater error.<sup>7</sup> The  $\sigma_{inv}$  constants are many times larger in value than the Hammett  $\sigma$  constants. This can be attributed to the fact that the substituent is directly on the reacting center in inversion. There are three well-known factors evident in the order of the  $\sigma_{inv}$  constants: electronegativity, conjugative and steric effects.<sup>8</sup> In the acyclic amine series, the  $\sigma_{inv}$  constants appear to be additive.<sup>9</sup> N-Fluoro-N-methylformamide has a nitrogen atom with substituents of widely

Fig. 2. Log k for nitrogen inversion in aziridines  $\underline{vs} \sigma_{inv}$ ; see Table 1 for the data. The x's represent the points in Fig. 1 adjusted to solvent C, Table 1;  $\sigma_{inv}$ , for aryl =  $\sigma_{inv}$ , for phenyl +  $\sigma_p^-$  for the p-substituent. Four substituents, which were present in the 2,2-dimethyl series, determined the  $\rho$  of the carbon unsubstituted aziridine series. The H substituent, which was present in this series, determined the position of the  $\sigma_{inv} = 0$ .



Fig. 3. Log k for pyramidal inversion <u>vs</u>  $\sigma_{inv}$  constants. The points on the dashed lines are for the sum of  $\sigma_{inv}$  constants of the substituents on the inverting atom. differing  $\sigma_{inv}$  constants, the sum of which is -4.9. The log k corresponding to  $\sigma_{inv}$  = -4.9 determined from the H<sub>2</sub>N- line in Fig. 3 is 4.6, nearly the same as the 4.7 determined experiment-

ally<sup>10</sup> and adjusted to 25°.

From Fig. 3 can be seen that the cyclic amine series<sup>12</sup> converge to a point where log k = 12.8 and  $\sigma_{inv.}$  = 4.9. This indicates that a substituent with  $\sigma_{inv.}$  of 4.9 would cause the amine to be effectively planar irrespective of ring constraints.<sup>13</sup> The substituent, difluorophosphine, appears to have a  $\sigma_{inv.}$  constant of 4.3 or greater, because it has been shown to give a planar amine.<sup>14</sup>

The difference in barrier between the cyclic amines and the oxaziridines at  $\sigma_{inv.} = 4.9$  is 6.5 kcal/mol, an increase due to the presence of the oxygen atom. Although there is only limited data for the 5 and 6 membered rings containing both 0 and N atoms as in the oxaziridines, the intersection of the line for the 6 membered ring with the oxaziridine line at  $\sigma_{inv.} = 4.9$  gives a  $\rho$  of 0.9 which is the same  $\rho$  as for the acyclic amines and homopiperidines. Also on this same line would be the acyclic hydroxylamine series assuming additive  $\sigma_{inv.}$  constants. Therefore, it appears that the N-O ring series also converge at  $\sigma_{inv.} = 4.9$ .

No. 42

The data for acyclic phosphines and arsines are very limited for any one series. However, by plotting the log k <u>vs</u> the sum of the  $\sigma_{inv}$  constants, reasonably straight lines can be obtained.<sup>15</sup> The ratio of the  $\rho$  values for the acyclic amines, phosphines, and arsines is 0.5: 1.0: 1.25 compared to the 0.34: 1.0: 1.4 ratio of sensitivities for calculated barriers.<sup>16</sup>

From the correlation of data reported here, predictions can be made for rates of inversion of many compounds not yet measured. As more experimental data becomes available, the values of the  $\sigma_{inv}$  constants reported here may need to be revised.

Substituent	<sup>σ</sup> inv.	log k <sup>a</sup> (25°)	Substituent	t <sup>σ</sup> inv.	log k <sup>a</sup> (25°)
-Si(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	+ 4.4	$-1.28^{b,c}$ , $-5.90^{b,c}$	-C (CH <sub>2</sub> ) 2	0	$8.5V^{z}$ , $-0.6N^{aa,n}$ , $-6.3T^{bb}$
-COCH3	+ 3.8	>8.7V <sup>d</sup> , -1.6D <sup>e</sup> , 4.1 <sup>f</sup>	55		$-11.3D^{t,u}, -12.2N^{l,n}$
-SiH(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	+ 3.5	$3.9R^{b,g}, -0.2^{b,g}$	-cyclohexy	- 0.2	-0.1C <sup>1</sup> , -13.6D <sup>t,u</sup>
-СОН	+ 3.5	12.0N <sup>h</sup>	-CH(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	- 0.3	3.7M <sup>CC</sup> , 1.9M <sup>CC</sup> , -0.3C <sup>r</sup>
-co <sub>2</sub> ch <sub>3</sub>	+ 3.1	7.8V <sup>d</sup>		1	-10.17 <sup>dd</sup>
-PO(C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	+ 2.7	6.9M <sup>1</sup>	-CH <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	- 0.3	$-0.3C^{1}$
-CN	+ 2.6	11.3N <sup>J</sup>	-CH <sub>3</sub>	- 0.6	$9.3N^{ee}$ , $8.5V^{z}$ , $8.2V^{ff}$
-CON(CH3)2	+ 2.3	5.7V <sup>d</sup>		1	8.0C <sup>gg</sup> , 7.6C <sup>0</sup> , 6.8C <sup>gg</sup>
-SCC13	+ 2.3	6.3M <sup>k</sup>			$6.3R^{p,n}$ , $5.5C^{gg}$ , $2.8M^{hh}$
-N0 <sub>2</sub>	+ 2.1	10.8N <sup>J</sup>			$1.3C^{hh}$ , $-1.0C^{r}$ , $-2.2C^{r,n}$
-As(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	+ 1.9	5.4M <sup>1</sup>			-10.9T <sup>bb,ii</sup>
- SO2C6H5	+ 1.6	3.8C <sup>1</sup>	-NH <sub>2</sub>	- 1.6	$7.3N^{jj}$ , $7.0C^{kk}$ , $6.8C^{o}$
-SO2CH3	+ 1.5	3.5C <sup>d</sup>	-		5.2R <sup>1,n</sup>
-SC6H5	+ 1.4	4.2M <sup>k</sup> , 3.3C <sup>1</sup>	-Br	- 2.2	6.4R <sup>0,n</sup> , 4.5M <sup>P</sup>
-C6H5	+ 1.3	$4.4R^{m,n}$ , $3.2S^{d,n}$ , $-4.4R^{S}$	-C1	- 2.5	$6.3M^{11}$ , $5.8C^{mm}$ , $6.2C^{gg}$
		-9.6D <sup>t,u</sup> , -10.8D <sup>t,u,v</sup>			$6.0C^{\circ}$ , $4.9A^{nn,n}$ , $5.1R^{\circ\circ,n}$
		-18.8D <sup>w,u</sup>			$4.2M^{p}$ , $3.0C^{gg}$ , $-5.6B^{pp,n}$
-SOC6H5	+ 1.2	2.8C <sup>1</sup>			-7.2R <sup>qq,n</sup>
-SCH3	+ 0.9	2.9C <sup>k</sup>	-OCH3	∿- 2.5	5.2A <sup>nn,n</sup>
-н	0	8.5N <sup>X</sup> , -0.5R <sup>Y, n</sup>	-OCOCH3	- 4.9	4.0C <sup>rr</sup>
			-он	- 5.5	3.5C <sup>rr</sup> , 3.4C <sup>o</sup> , 3.3A <sup>nn,n</sup>
			- F	- 7.8	1.7R <sup>ss,n</sup>
					ł

Table 1. Substituent Constants for Pyramidal Inversion.

<u>a</u> Calculated from the Eyring equation assuming a transmission coefficient of unity;  $\Delta G^{+}_{25}^{\circ}$  was calculated from  $\Delta G^{+}_{25}^{\circ} = \Delta G^{+}_{T} + RT - RT_{25}^{\circ}$ , assuming  $\Delta S^{+} = 0$ . Log k = log k<sub>0</sub> +  $\rho\sigma_{inv}$ , where log k<sub>0</sub> is for the H substituent. Solvents: A, (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CO; B, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>; C, DCD1<sub>3</sub>, CHC1F<sub>2</sub>, CHFC1<sub>2</sub>; D, decalin, toluene, bromonaphthalene; M, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; N, no solvent; R, CCl<sub>4</sub>, CF<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, CFC1<sub>3</sub>; S, CS<sub>2</sub>; T, C<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>; V, CD<sub>2</sub> = CDC1. <u>b</u> R.D. Baechler, J.P. Casey, R.J. Cook, G. Senkler and K. Mislow, J.Amer.Chem.Soc., 94, 2859 (1972). <u>c</u> Sum of  $\sigma_{inv}$  for (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>) (CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>) (EOCH<sub>3</sub>) = 4.8. <u>f</u> G. Senkler in K. Mislow, ibid., 93, 1805 (1971); sum of  $\sigma_{inv}$  for (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>) (COCH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> = 8.9. <u>g</u> Sum of  $\sigma_{inv}$  for (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>) (SiH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> = 8.3.

4113

h C.C. Costain and J.M. Dowling, J.Chem.Phys., 32, 158 (1960). i F.A.L. Anet, R.D. Trepka and D.J. Cram, J.Amer.Chem.Soc., 89, 357 (1967). j D.G. Lister and J.K. Tyler, Chem.Commun., 152 (1966). k J.M. Lehn and J. Wagner, ibid., 1298 (1968). 1 J.M. Lehn, Fortschr.Chem.Forschg., 15, 311 (1970). <u>k</u> 5.M. Lehn and J. wagner, <u>151d</u>., 1298 (1968). <u>1</u> J.M. Lehn, Fortschr.Chem.Forschg., <u>15</u>, 311 (1970). <u>m</u> Ref. 1. The log k and  $(\sigma_{1nV})$  of the other X's on this line: p-02NC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>-, 7.0C(2.55); p-F<sub>3</sub>CC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>-, <u>5</u>.3R<sup>n</sup>(2.04); p-ClC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>-, 4.5R<sup>n</sup>(1.55); p-CH<sub>3</sub>OC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>-, 3.4R<sup>n</sup>(1.17). <u>n</u> The  $\Delta G^{\pm}_{25}$  was adjusted slightly to the estimated  $\Delta G^{\pm}_{25}$  for solvent C or M according to <u>o</u> and <u>p</u> for solvent R, to <u>q</u> and <u>r</u> for solvent S, and to <u>r</u> for other solvents. <u>o</u> Ref. 6. <u>p</u> J. Lehn and J. Wagner, Chem. Commun., 148 (1968) <u>q</u> M. Raban, F.B. Jones, E.H. Carlson, E. Banucci, and N.A. LeBel, J.Org.Chem., <u>35</u>, 1496 (1970) for  $\overline{a} \Delta G^{\ddagger}$  for solvent S between the  $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ 's for no solvent and toluene; adjustment then made according to r. r T. Drakenberg and J.M. Lehn, J.Chem.Soc., Perkin II, 532 (1972). s H. Ono, J. Splitter, and M. Calvin, preceding paper. t R.D. Baechler and K. Mislow, J.Amer.Chem.Soc., 92, 3090 (1970). usum of  $\sigma_{inv.}$  for (CH<sub>3</sub>)( $\sigma_{cH_3}$ )(p-toly1) = 1.85; for (CH<sub>3</sub>)(n-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub> or C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)( $C_{cH_3}$ ) = 0.1; for (CH<sub>3</sub>) (C6H<sub>5</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>) = 0.7; for (CH<sub>3</sub>)(n-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)( $\sigma_{v}$ Cl<sub>3</sub>)(n-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub> or C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)( $C_{cH_3}$ ) = 0.1; for (CH<sub>3</sub>) (C6H<sub>5</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>) = 0.7; for (CH<sub>3</sub>)(n-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)( $\sigma_{v}$ Cl<sub>3</sub>)(n-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub> or C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)( $C_{cH_3}$ ) = 0.1; for (CH<sub>3</sub>) ( $C_{cH_3}$ )(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>) = 0.7; for (CH<sub>3</sub>)(n-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)( $\sigma_{v}$ Cl<sub>3</sub>)(n-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub> or C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>5</sub>) = 0.1; for (CH<sub>3</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>) = 0.7; for (CH<sub>3</sub>)(n-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)( $\sigma_{v}$ Cl<sub>3</sub>)(n-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub> or C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>) = 0.1; for (CH<sub>3</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)( $\sigma_{v}$ Cl<sub>4</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>) = 0.7; for (CH<sub>3</sub>)(n-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)( $\sigma_{v}$ Cl<sub>4</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>) = 0.1; for (CH<sub>3</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>) = 0.1; for (CH<sub>3</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(t-C<sub></sub> and G. Torre, Chem. Commun., 1086 (1969). cc D.L. Griffith and B.L. Olson, ibid., 1682 (1968). dd A. Mannschreck and W. Seitz, private communication; for 2-isopropyl-3-phenyloxaziridine. ee M. Tsuboi, A. Hirakawa, and K. Tamagake, J.Mol.Spectrosc., 22, 272 (1967). ff M.J.S. Dewar and W.B. Jennings, J.Amer.Chem.Soc., <u>93</u>, 401 (1971). <u>gg</u> J.B. Lambert, W.L. Oliver, Jr., and B.S. Packard, <u>jbid.</u>, <u>93</u>, 933 (1971). <u>hh</u> F.G. Riddell, J.M. Lehn and J. Wagner, Chem.Commun., 1403 (1968). <u>ii</u> A. Mannschreck, J. Linss, and W. Seitz, Ann., <u>727</u>, 224 (1969). <u>jj</u> Y. Hamada, A. Hirakawa, K. Tamagake and M. Tsuboi, J. Mol.Spectrosc., <u>35</u>, 420 (1970). <u>kk</u> M.J.S. Dewar and W.B. Jennings, J.Amer.Chem. Soc., <u>95</u>, 1562 (1973). <u>11</u> C.H. Bushweller and J.W. O'Neill, Tetrahedron Lett., <u>3471</u> (1971). <u>mm</u> W.B. Jennings and R. Spratt, Chem.Commun., 54 (1971). nn D.L. Griffith, B.L. Olson, and J.D. Robert J.Amer.Chem.Soc., <u>93</u>, 1648 (1971). <u>oo</u> J.B. Lambert and W. Oliver, Tetrahedron Lett., 6187 (1968). pp D. Felix and A. Eschenmoser, Angew.Chem.Int.Ed.Engl., <u>7</u>, 224 (1968); for 7-chloro-7-azabicyclo [4.1.0] heptane. <u>qq</u> R.G. Kostyanovsky, Z.E. Samojlova, and I.I. Tchervin, Tetrahedron Lett., 719 (1969); for 1-chloro-2-methylaziridine. rr J.R. Fletcher and I.O. Sutherland, Chem.Commun., 687 (1970). ss Ref. 10.

## REFERENCES AND FOOTNOTES

- 1. J.D. Andose, J.M. Lehn, K. Mislow and J. Wagner, J.Amer.Chem.Soc., 92, 4050 (1970).
- 2. The  $\sigma_p^-$  constants were derived from acidity constants of substituted phenols; A. Fischer, G.J.
- Leary, R.D. Topson, and J. Vaughan, J.Chem. Soc., B, 782 (1966). The  $\sigma_p^-$  value used for -OCH<sub>3</sub> was -0.13<sup>4</sup> and for CI, +0.25 calculated<sup>5</sup> for both Cl and Br, then adjusted according to the experimental value for Br.<sup>4</sup> The  $\Delta G^{\pm}$  for the p-nitrophenylaziridine was adjusted to  $\Delta G^{\pm} = 7.8$  kcal/mol for CF<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> according to data in ref. 6. For the corres-3. The  $\sigma_p$ ponding points in Fig. 2 and 3, the  $\Delta G^{\pm}$  values were adjusted for solvent C. Therefore, the log k values are slightly lower than in Fig. 1.
- 4. L.A. Cohen and W.M. Jones, J.Amer.Chem.Soc., 85, 3397 (1963).
- 5. C.G. Swain and E.C. Lupton, Jr., ibid., 90, 4328 (1968).
- J.M. Lehn and J. Wagner, Tetrahedron, 26, 4227 (1970).
  The log k of CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>2</sub> and (PhCH<sub>2</sub>)(CH<sub>3</sub>)NC1 deviated considerably; because of the latter deviation, the  $\sigma_{inv}$  of -OCH<sub>z</sub> is subject to great uncertainty. Also, the log k of 2-(t-butyl)-3,3diphenyloxaziridine deviated considerably, probably because of steric interaction between the t-butyl group and the adjacent phenyl groups.
- 8. For a review of pyramidal inversion: J.B. Lambert, Topics in Stereochem., 6, 19 (1971).
- 9. With increasing steric bulk at the inverting atom,  $\sigma_{inv}$  constants may not be additive.
- 10. J. Cantacuzene, J. Leroy, R. Jantzen, F. Dudragne, J.Amer.Chem.Soc., <u>94</u>, 7924 (1972); the ΔG<sup>±</sup> of 11.1 kcal/mol was attributed to rotation of the formyl group. However, it is reasonable that this barrier is an inversion-rotation barrier; the inversion and t-butyl rotation barriers have been shown to be identical in t-butylmethylbenzylamine.11
- 11. C.H. Bushweller, J.W. O'Neil and H.S. Bilofsky, J.Amer.Chem.Soc., <u>93</u>, 542 (1971).
- 12. The  $\rho$  values reflect the sensitivities to angular constraints. The presence of substituents on the ring caused a decreased  $\rho$  compared to the unsubstituted ring. The presence of the oxygen atom in the oxaziridine ring caused an increased  $\rho$  compared to the aziridine series.
- 13. For log k = 12.8,  $\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 0$  using the Eyring equation.
- 14. A. Brittain, J. Smith, P. Lee, K. Cohn and R. Schwendeman, J.Amer.Chem.Soc., 93, 6772 (1971).
- 15. The t-butylmethylphenylphosphine and p-tolymethylphenylphosphine log k's deviated considerably. 16. R.D. Baechler, J.D. Andose, J. Stackhouse and K. Mislow, J.Amer.Chem.Soc., 94, 8060 (1972).
  - Specially parametrized CNDO/2 calculations of the barriers were used for the correlations.